



PROGRAMA

TEORIA DE LAS RELACIONES INTERNACIONALES. International Relations Theory

**3er CURSO.
GRADO RELACIONES INTERNACIONALES.
CURSO 2015-2016**

**DEPARTAMENTO DE DERECHO INTERNACIONAL
PÚBLICO Y RELACIONES INTERNACIONALES.
(ESTUDIOS INTERNACIONALES)**

Profesor. Dr. David García Cantalapiedra.
djgarcia@pdi.ucm.es

Introducción. 20 años después: debate y valoración de la tesis de Huntington.

Samuel Huntington "The Clash of Civilizations?" *Foreign Affairs*. Summer 1993

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3SNicJRcUqs>

1. El sistema internacional: Pre o Post Wesfaliano? Actores, interacciones y estructura.

- Actores e interacciones.

Roberts, Adam. "International Relations after the Cold War". *International Affairs* vol. 84: n°2, 2008.

Phillips, P. Michael. "Deconstructing Our Dark Age Future." *Parameters*. Summer 2009. pp. 94-110

<http://www.carlisle.army.mil/usawc/parameters/Articles/09summer/phillips.pdf>

- La Estructura del Sistema: incertidumbre, polaridad, anarquía y distribución de poder.

Brian C. Rathbun. "Uncertain about Uncertainty: Understanding the Multiple Meanings of a Crucial Concept in International Relations Theory". *International Studies Quarterly* (2007) 51, 533–557.

Jervis, Robert. "Unipolarity. A Structural Perspective." *World Politics*, Vol. 61, No. 1 (January 2009).

http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/world_politics/v061/61.1.jervis.html

Joseph S. Nye, Jr. "Soft Power and American Foreign Policy". *Political Science Quarterly*, Vol. 119, No. 2 (Summer, 2004), pp. 255-27

<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/20202345.pdf?acceptTC=true>

Lake, David. "Escape from the State of Nature: Authority and Hierarchy in World Politics," *International Security*, 32/1 (Summer 2007): 47-79.

http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v032/32.1lake.html

David A. Lake. "Rightful Rules: Authority, Order, and the Foundations of Global Governance" *International Studies Quarterly* (2010) 54, 587–613

2. EL REALISMO.

2.1. EL REALISMO CLÁSICO

Hans Morgenthau, la política de poder y los parámetros morales de la acción de la política internacional-

Michael C. Williams. “Why Ideas Matter in International Relations: Hans Morgenthau, Classical Realism, and the Moral Construction of Power Politics”. *International Organization*, Vol. 58, No. 4 (Autumn, 2004), pp. 633-665
www.jstor.org/stable/3877799

2.2. EL NEOREALISMO/REALISMO ESTRUCTURAL.

La construcción científica de la teoría sobre la política internacional desde un marco sistémico estructural: desarrollo, modificaciones y críticas.

-Waltz, K. “Structural Realism after the Cold War”. *International Security*. Vol. 25, nº 1. Summer 2000. p. 5-41. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2626772>

-Posen, Barry R. “European Union Security and Defense Policy: Response to Unipolarity?”. *Security Studies*, vol.15, n. 2, 2006. pags.149-186

2.3. EL REALISMO OFENSIVO

- Mearsheimer, John. “The False Promise of International Institutions”. *International Security*. Vol. 19, nº 3. Winter 1994-95. pp. 5-49.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539078>

Glenn H. Snyder. Glenn H. “Mearsheimer’s World—Offensive Realism and the Struggle for Security. A Review Essay”. *International Security*, Vol. 27, No. 1 (Summer 2002), pp. 149–173.

Why China Cannot Rise Peacefully.
<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CXov7MkgPB4>

Bibliografía adicional

William C. Wohlforth “The Stability of a Unipolar World”. *International Security*, Vol. 24, No. 1 (Summer, 1999), pp. 5-41.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539346>

Glenn H. Snyder. Glenn H. “Mearsheimer’s World—Offensive Realism and the Struggle for Security. A Review Essay”. *International Security*, Vol. 27, No. 1 (Summer 2002), pp. 149–173.

Mearsheimer, J. *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. Norton & Co. NY. 2001. (selección)

2.4. EL REALISMO DEFENSIVO.

Los límites a la cooperación en la seguridad y el Dilema de seguridad.

Robert Jervis. "Cooperation under the Security Dilemma". *World Politics*, Vol. 30, No. 2. (Jan., 1978), pp. 167-214. (Selección)

Shiping Tang (2009): The Security Dilemma: A Conceptual Analysis, *Security Studies*, 18:3, 587-623.

<http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/09636410903133050#.VeQ8avntfHw>

Thomas J. Christensen and Jack Snyder. "Chain Gangs and Passed Bucks: Predicting Alliance Patterns in Multipolarity". *International Organization*, 44, n. 2 (Spring 1990), pp. 137-68. <http://www.metu.edu.tr/~utuba/Christensen.pdf>

Thomas J. Christensen. "The Contemporary Security Dilemma: Detering a Taiwan Conflict". *The Washington Quarterly*. Autumn 2002. <http://www.twq.com/02autumn/christensen.pdf>

Bibliografía adicional

Jervis, Robert "Security Regimes," *International Organization*, Vol. 36, No. 2 (Spring 1982), pp. 357-378. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706526>

Jervis, Robert. "Realism, Neo-liberalism and Cooperation". *International Security*, vol. 24, n° 1. 1999. p. 42-63. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539347>

Garcia Cantalapiedra, David. "Perceptions on US Policy, Transatlantic Relations and Alliance Security Dilemma", en Fernandez, N. and Smith, M. *Perceptions and Policy in Transatlantic Relations*. Routledge. 2008.

2.5. EL REALISMO NEOCLÁSICO

La crítica realista al modelo neorrealista: *Balance of Threats vs. Balance of Power*.

Randall L. Schweller. "Bandwagoning for Profit: Bringing the Revisionist State Back In". *International Security*, Vol. 19, No. 1 (Summer 1994), pp. 72-107. www.jstor.org/stable/2539149

Randall L. Schweller. "Unanswered Threats: A Neoclassical Realist Theory of Underbalancing". *International Security*. Vol. 29, No. 2, (Fall 2004), pp. 159-201

Gideon Rose, "Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy", *World Politics*, Vol. 51, No. 1, 1998. pp. 144-172 http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/world_politics/v051/51.1er_brown.html

3. EL LIBERALISMO.

La cooperación como solución a las dinámicas de conflicto en el sistema internacional: los modelos institucionales y acuerdos internacionales.

3.1. NEOLIBERALISMO E INTERDEPENDENCIA COMPLEJA

Robert O Keohane; Joseph S Nye Jr. "Power and interdependence in the information age". *Foreign Affairs*. Vol.77, 5
http://academos.ro/sites/default/files/power_and_interdependence.pdf

3.2. INSTITUCIONALISMO NEOLIBERAL Y LA TEORÍA DE REGIMENES

- Keohane, R. and Martin, L. "The Promise of Institutionalist Theory". *International Security*, vol. 20. 1995. pp. 39-51.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539214>

Keohane, R. "International institutions: Can interdependence work?" *Foreign Policy*. Vol. 110. Spring 1998
http://www.columbia.edu/itc/sipa/S6800/courseworks/international_keohane.pdf

Keohane, Robert. "Governance in a Partially Globalized World". *American Political Science Review*. N° 1. March 2001.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/3117625.pdf>

Robert O. Keohane and David G. Victor." The Regime Complex for Climate Change". *Perspectives on Politics*., Vol. 9/No. 1. March 2011.
<http://ilar.ucsd.edu/assets/001/501988.pdf>

3.3. LA "PAZ DEMOCRÁTICA".

Owen, J. "How Liberalism produces Democratic Peace". *International Security*. Vol. 19, n° 2. Fall 1994. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539197>

3.5. LOS LÍMITES DE LA COOPERACIÓN.

La dinámica ganancias-absolutas ganancias relativas, los juegos de cooperación y las estrategias de negociación.

Joseph M. Grieco . "Anarchy and the Limits of Cooperation: A Realist Critique of the Newest Liberal Institutionalism". *International Organization*, Vol. 42, No. 3 (Summer, 1988), pp. 485-507. www.jstor.org/stable/2706787

Stedman, S.J. "UN transformation in an era of Soft Balancing". *International Affairs*. Vol. 83, n° 5. 2007.
<http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/journal/117960054/issue>

4. DECISION MAKING-TOMA DE DECISIONES.

4.1. La teoría del Actor Racional (Rational Choice Theory, RCT) y sus críticos: modelos burocráticos y variables psicológicas.

-“Trece Días”.

Herrero, Rubén. “La realidad inventada: percepción, relaciones internacionales y toma de decisión”. *Sistema*. 173. Marzo 2003.

J. M. Goldgeier and P. E. Tetlock. “Psychology and International Relations Theory”. *Annu. Rev. Polit. Sci.* 2001. 4:67–92

Mercer, Jonathan. “Rationality and Psychology in International Politics”. *International Organization*, Vol. 59, No. 1 (Winter, 2005), pp. 77-106
www.jstor.org/stable/3877879

Bernstein, Barton J. “Understanding Decision-Making, US Foreign Policy and the Cuba Missiles Crises”. *International Security*, No. 1 (Summer 2000), pp. 134–64.
<http://www.jstor.org/stable/pdfplus/2626776.pdf>

Bibliografía adicional.

Allison, Graham and Zelikow, Phillip. *Essence of Decision: Explaining the Cuban Missile Crisis*, 2ed. Longman. 1999

García Cantalapiedra, David y Herrero de Castro, Rubén. *Aliados. Imágenes y seguridad en las Relaciones transatlánticas en el Siglo XXI*. Plaza y Valdés. 2015.

Herrero de Castro, Rubén. *La realidad inventada*. Plaza y Valdés. Madrid. 2006.

Herrero de Castro, Rubén. *Kennedy y Vietnam. La caída de Camelot*. Plaza y Valdés. Madrid. 2011.

Schelling, T. *The Strategy of Conflict*. Harvard. (2nd Edition). 1980.

4. LAS TEORIAS CRÍTICAS EN LAS RELACIONES INTERNACIONALES.

4.1. EL CONSTRUCTIVISMO MODERADO.

Las alternativas moderadas de la Teoría Crítica a los modelos racionalistas sistémicos estructurales realistas y liberales

Ruggie, J. G. “What makes the World hang together? Neo-utilitarianism and Social Constructivist Challenge”, en Ruggie, J. *Constructing the World Polity: Essays of International Institutionalization*. Routledge. London. 1998. pp. 1-40

Adler, E. “Seizing the Middle Ground: Constructivism in World Politics”. *European Journal of International Relations*, 1997, 3: 319
<http://www.rochelleterman.com/ir/sites/default/files/adler%201997.pdf>

Wendt, A. “Constructing International Politics”. *International Security*. Vol. 30. nº1 1995. pp. 71-80. (Wendt on Mearsheimer’s “False Promise...”) <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2539217>

Copeland, Dale C. “The Constructivist Challenge to Structural Realism: A Review Essay”. *International Security*, Volume 25, Number 2, Fall 2000, pp. 187-212.
http://muse.jhu.edu/journals/international_security/v025/25.2.copeland.pdf

Sterling- Folker, J. “Competing Paradigms or Birds of a Feather? Constructivism and Neoliberal Institutionalism Compares.” *International Studies Quarterly*. Vol. 44. 2000. pp. 97-119.

5. LA ESCUELA INGLESA Y LA SOCIEDAD INTERNACIONAL.

Buzan, B. “From International System to International Society: Structural Realism and Regime Theory meet the English School. *International Organization* nº 47. Summer 1993. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706979>

Devlen, B et al. “The English School, International Relations, and Progress”. *Internacional Studies Review*, nº 2, June 2005.
<http://www.bilkent.edu.tr/~ozgur/download/07The%20English%20School,IR%20and%20Progress.pdf>

6. FOREIGN POLICY ANALYSIS.

Garrison, Jean, Juliet Kaarbo, Douglas Foyle, Mark Schafer and Eric K. Stern. 2003. “Foreign Policy Analysis in 20/20: A symposium.” *International Studies Review*. 5, 2: 155- 202. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3186402>

Hudson, V. M. and Chris S. Vore. 1995. “Foreign Policy Analysis yesterday, today and Tomorrow.” *Mershon International Studies Review*. 39, 2: 209-238.
<http://bev.berkeley.edu/fp/readings/ForeignPolicyAnalysisDomesticPolitics.pdf>

7. LA ECONOMÍA POLÍTICA INTERNACIONAL

Lake, D. "Leadership, Hegemony, and the International Economy: Naked Emperor or Tattered Monarch with Potential?" *International Studies Quarterly*, Vol. 37, No. 4, Dec., 1993. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2600841>

Guzzini, S. Stefano Guzzini (1993). Structural power: the limits of neorealist power analysis. *International Organization*, 47, pp 443-478.

Susan Strange. Cave! Hic Dragones: A Critique of Regime Analysis". *International Organization*. Vol. 36, No. 2, *International Regimes* (Spring, 1982), pp. 479-496. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2706530>

----- "The Defective State". *Daedalus*, Vol. 124, No. 2, What Future for the State? (Spring, 1995), pp. 55-74
<http://maihold.org/mediapool/113/1132142/data/strange-defective.pdf>

8. DEBATES EN LA TEORIA DE RELACIONES INTERNACIONALES

Donnelly, Jack. "The Elements of Structures in the International System". *International Organization*. Vol. 66, Issue 4, Oct. 2012, p.609

Nuno P. Monteiro (2012) We can never study merely one thing: reflections on System Thinking and IR". *Critical Review: A Journal of Politics and Society*, 24:3, 343-366,

Robert Jervis (2012) "System Effects Revisited". *Critical Review: A Journal of Politics and Society*, 24:3, 393-415,

Jervis, R. *System Effects. Complexity in political and Social Life*. Princeton. 1997.
(Selección)

BIBLIOGRAFÍA GENERAL.

Aldecoa Luzarraga, F. *La integración europea*. Vol II. Tecnos. Madrid 2003.

Arenal, C. *Introducción a las relaciones internacionales*. Tecnos. Madrid. 1990.

------. *Etnocentrismo y la Teoría de las Relaciones Internacionales: una visión crítica*. Tecnos. 2014.

Baldwin, David. (ed) *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: the Contemporary Debate*. Columbia, N.Y. 1994.

Bull, H. *La Sociedad Anárquica*. Libros de la Catarata. Madrid. 2004.

Buzan, Barry et al. *The Logic of Anarchy. Neorealism to Structural Realism*. Columbia,. NY. 1993.

----- and Waever, O. *Regions and Powers. The Structure of International Security*. CSIR. Cambridge. 2003.

Carr, Edward H. *The Twenty Years' Crisis 1919 – 1939*. 2nd edition, London: Macmillan, 1981[1939].

Deutsch, K. *Political Community and the North Atlantic Area*. Princeton. 1957.

García Picazo, P. *Las Relaciones Internacionales en el siglo XX. La contienda teórica*. UNED. Madrid 1998.

Gilpin, R. *War and Change in World Politics*. Cambridge University Press, 1981.

------. *The Political Economy of International Relations*. Princeton Univ Press. 1987

Huntington, S. *El choque de civilizaciones*. Paidós. Barcelona. 1997.

Ikenberry, G. *After Victory. Institutions, Strategic Restraint and the Rebuilding of Order after Major Wars*. Princeton. 2001.

Jervis, Robert. *Perception and Misperception in International Politics*. Princeton University Press, 1976.

Jervis, R. *System Effects. Complexity in political and Social Life*. Princeton. 1997.

Kant, I. *Sobre la Paz Perpetua*. Tecnos. Madrid. 1985.

Kehoane, R. and Nye, J. *Power and Interdependence*. HarperCollins, NY. 1989.

------. *After Hegemony*. Princeton. 1984.

Kennedy, P. *Parliament of Men. The Past, Present and Future of the United Nations*.

Lebow, R. and Risse-Kappen, T. *International Relations and the end of the Cold War*. Columbia. N.Y. 1992.

Mearsheimer, J. *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. Norton & Co. NY. 2001.

Mesa, R. *La nueva sociedad internacional*. Centro de Estudios Constitucionales. Madrid 1992.

Morgenthau, H. *Politics among Nations*. Alfred Knopf. NY. 1978. Hans J. Morgenthau, *Politics among Nations. The Struggle for Power and Peace*, 7th edition, London: McGraw Hill, 2006 [1948].

Nye, Joseph. *Bound to Lead: The Changing Nature of American Power*, (Basic Books, 1990)

------. *The Paradox of American Power: Why the World's Only Superpower Can't Go it Alone* (Oxford University Press, 2002)

Ruggie, John Gerard. *Constructing the World Polity. Essays on International Institutionalization*. Routledge. London. 1996.

Sjolander, C. and Cox, W. *Beyond Positivism. Critical Reflections on International Relations*. Lynne Rienner. London. 2003.

Strange, S. *States and Markets. An Introduction to International Political Economy*, Pinter, Londres. 1988.

------. *The Retreat of the State. The Diffusion of the Power in the World Economy*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 1996

Truyol, A. *La sociedad internacional*. Alianza. Madrid. 1993.

Walt, Stephen. *The Origins of Alliances*. Cornell, Ithaca. 1987.,

Waltz, K. *Theory of International Politics*. Random. NY. 1979.

Wendt. A. *Social Theory of International Politics*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. 1999.

SISTEMA DE TRABAJO.

Se realizará una explicación en la primera clase de la semana. En la segunda sesión se realizará análisis de las lecturas.

SISTEMA DE PUNTUACIÓN.

1. ASISTENCIA (hasta un 10%)
2. EXAMEN FINAL (hasta un 60%)
3. ANALISIS DE TEXTOS (hasta un 30%).

TRABAJOS VOLUNTARIOS, ASISTENCIA A CONFERENCIAS, SEMINARIOS Y EVENTOS AUMENTARA LA NOTA FINAL SIEMPRE QUE EL EXAMEN ESTE APROBADO.